

Evaluating the influences of temperature, primary production, and evolutionary history on bivalve growth rates

James Saulsbury , David K. Moss , Linda C. Ivany, Michał Kowalewski, David R. Lindberg, James F. Gillooly, Noel A. Heim, Craig R. McClain, Jonathan L. Payne , Peter D. Roopnarine, Bernd R. Schöne, David Goodwin, and Seth Finnegan

Abstract.—Organismal metabolic rates reflect the interaction of environmental and physiological factors. Thus, calcifying organisms that record growth history can provide insight into both the ancient environments in which they lived and their own physiology and life history. However, interpreting them requires understanding which environmental factors have the greatest influence on growth rate and the extent to which evolutionary history constrains growth rates across lineages. We integrated satellite measurements of sea-surface temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration with a database of growth coefficients, body sizes, and life spans for 692 populations of living marine bivalves in 195 species, set within the context of a new maximum-likelihood phylogeny of bivalves. We find that environmental predictors overall explain only a small proportion of variation in growth coefficient across all species; temperature is a better predictor of growth coefficient than food supply, and growth coefficient is somewhat more variable at higher summer temperatures. Growth coefficients exhibit moderate phylogenetic signal, and taxonomic membership is a stronger predictor of growth coefficient than any environmental predictor, but phylogenetic inertia cannot fully explain the disjunction between our findings and the extensive body of work demonstrating strong environmental control on growth rates within taxa. Accounting for evolutionary history is critical when considering shells as historical archives. The weak relationship between variation in food supply and variation in growth coefficient in our data set is inconsistent with the hypothesis that the increase in mean body size through the Phanerozoic was driven by increasing productivity enabling faster growth rates.

James Saulsbury. Museum of Paleontology and Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, U.S.A. E-mail: jgsauls@umich.edu

David K. Moss. Department of Geography and Geology, Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, Texas 77341, U.S.A.

Linda C. Ivany. Department of Earth Sciences, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13210, U.S.A.

- Michał Kowalewski. Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, U.S.A.
- David R. Lindberg and Seth Finnegan. Department of Integrative Biology and Museum of Paleontology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, U.S.A.
- James F. Gillooly. Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, U.S.A.
- Noel A. Heim. Department of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, U.S.A.
- Craig R. McClain. Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium, Chauvin, Louisiana 70344, U.S.A.
- Jonathan L. Payne. Department of Geological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, U.S.A.
- Peter D. Roopnarine. Institute for Biodiversity Science and Sustainability, California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, California 94118, U.S.A.

Bernd R. Schöne. Institute of Geosciences, University of Mainz, 55128 Mainz, Germany.

David Goodwin. Department of Geosciences, Denison University, Granville, Ohio 43023, U.S.A.

Accepted: 21 May 2019

Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.t32st70

Introduction

Several lines of evidence suggest that the mean energy requirements and metabolic rates of metazoans have generally increased through time (Bambach 1993; Payne and Finnegan 2006; Finnegan et al. 2011; Payne et al. 2014; Smith et al. 2016). A variety of potential intrinsic (e.g., physiological/behavioral/ecological)